## RFQ Rating Scale

Portfolio Section 2.1.2	
Rating	Criteria
5	Bidder has sufficiently demonstrated their ability to exceed the items in section 2.1.2 and has provided specific detail about <u>each</u> item. The portfolio is well formed demonstrating the bidders quality and breadth of work. They inspired a high level of confidence in the work they shared.
4	Bidder has sufficiently demonstrated their ability to meet or exceed the items in section 2.1.2, and has provided specific detail about most items. The Portfolio is reasonably well formed demonstrating the bidders quality and breadth of work. They inspired a significant level of confidence in the work they shared.
3	Bidder has sufficiently demonstrated their ability <b>to meet or exceed</b> the items in section 2.1.2 with <b>specifics on <u>some</u> items</b> . Portfolio is <b>adequate</b> . They inspired a <b>reasonable level of confidence</b> in the work they shared.
2	Bidder has sufficiently demonstrated their ability <b>to meet</b> the items in section 2.1.2 with <u>no</u> specifics included. Portfolio is lacking, but some parts were acceptable. They inspired some confidence in the work they shared.
1	Bidder has <b>not sufficiently demonstrated</b> their ability to meet the requirements of section 2.1.2. Portfolio is <b>deficient</b> . They <b>did not inspire confidence</b> in the work they shared.
0	Bidder submitted <b>no response</b> to section 2.1.2

References Section 2.2	
Rating	Criteria
5	All items listed as "Excellent" on the Reference Request Form
4	All items listed as "Excellent" or "Good" on the Reference Request Form
3	All items listed as "Good" on the Reference Request Form
2	All items listed as "Acceptable", "Good or "Excellent" on the Reference Request Form
1	At least one item listed as "Unacceptable" on Reference Request Form
0	Reference Request Form was not returned; reference was unresponsive