# Applied Cryptography and Computer Security CSE 664 Spring 2017

Lecture 15: Discrete Logarithms and ElGamal Encryption

Department of Computer Science and Engineering University at Buffalo

#### What's Next

- So far we looked at a public-key encryption scheme modulo a composite
  - the difficulty of breaking it lies in factoring and computing roots modulo a composite
- Now we are going to study a public-key encryption scheme modulo a prime
  - discrete logarithms
  - Diffie-Hellman problem
  - ElGamal encryption

— Spring 2017

## **Terminology Recap**

- Recall that group G is a set of elements together with
  - a binary operation for which the associative law holds and the set is closed under that operation
  - a unique identity element
  - and unique inverses for each element a of G
- ullet The multiplicative group modulo m is denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}_m^*$
- A cyclic group is one that contains an element a whose powers  $a^i$  and  $a^{-i}$  make up the entire group
- An element a with such property is called a generator of the group

# **ElGamal Encryption**

- The idea behind ElGamal encryption
  - we are given a cyclic multiplicative group G
  - let  $m \in G$  be an arbitrary element
  - if g is an element of G chosen uniformly at random, then so is  $g'=g\cdot m$ 
    - ullet m is perfectly protected
  - we want g to be pseudorandom
    - g is computable using the private key sk and can't be guessed otherwise

#### Discrete logarithms

- we are given a cyclic group G of order q
- then there exists an element  $g \in G$  such that  $G = \langle g \rangle = \{g^i : 0 \le i \le q-1\}$
- for each  $h \in G$  there is a unique x such that  $g^x = h$
- such x is called the discrete logarithm of h with respect to g and we use  $x = \log_g h$
- many properties of regular logarithms apply
  - $\log_g 1 = 0$
  - $\log_g(h_1 \cdot h_2) = (\log_g h_1 + \log_g h_2) \bmod q$

- The discrete logarithm problem
  - in a cyclic group G with given generator g, compute unique  $\log_g h$  for a random element  $h \in G$
- Let PPT algorithm  $Set(1^k)$  output a cyclic group G of order q and generator  $g \in G$
- The discrete logarithm experiment  $\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},Set}(k)$ :
  - **1.** Run  $(G, q, g) \leftarrow Set(1^k)$  and choose random  $h \in G$
  - 2. A is given G, q, g, h and outputs  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
  - 3. the experiment outputs 1 if  $g^x = h$  and 0 otherwise

• We say that the discrete logarithm problem is hard (relative to Set) if any PPT adversary  $\mathcal A$  cannot win the discrete logarithm experiment with a non-negligible probability

$$\Pr[\mathsf{DLog}_{\mathcal{A},Set}(k) = 1] \le \mathsf{negl}(k)$$

- When is the discrete logarithm problem hard?
  - most often a multiplicative group modulo prime p,  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , or its subgroup is used
  - the choice of parameters is driven by known algorithms for solving the discrete logarithm problem

- Is the discrete logarithm problem generally hard?
  - it is hard in  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*,\cdot)$  using proper parameters
  - how about  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ ?
    - let gcd(g, n) = 1, so g is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$
    - now  $g^x \bmod n$  in multiplicative groups translates to  $gx \bmod n$
    - the discrete logarithm problem is then  $gx \equiv h \pmod{n}$
    - but since gcd(g, n) = 1, we can compute  $g^{-1} \mod n$
    - $\operatorname{now} x = \log_g h = hg^{-1} \bmod n$
  - are there other cases when  $\log_g h$  is hard?

- Algorithms for solving discrete log
  - there are generic algorithms that work for every cyclic group
    - e.g., Shanks' method, Pollard rho method, Pohlig-Hellman algorithm
  - there are algorithms that work for certain groups only
    - they rely on particular representation of the group
    - they are faster and will require larger security parameters
    - e.g., general number field sieve for  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*,\cdot)$  with prime p
  - for certain groups, there are no better attacks than generic algorithms
    - e.g., groups over elliptic curves

## **Algorithms for Discrete Logarithm Problem**

- Shanks' baby-step/giant-step algorithm
  - the algorithm requires  $O(\sqrt{q})$  steps for groups of order q
  - "giant steps" are of size  $\sqrt{q}$  and "baby steps" are of size 1
  - algorithm steps on input  $G = \langle g \rangle$  and  $h \in G$ 
    - 1. set  $t = \lfloor \sqrt{q} \rfloor$
    - 2. for i = 0 to |q/t|, compute  $g_i = g^{i \cdot t}$
    - 3. sort pairs  $(i, g_i)$  by second value
    - 4. for i=0 to t, compute  $h_i=h\cdot h^i$ ; if  $h_i=g_j$  for some j, return  $jt-i \bmod q$
  - taking into account sorting and mod exponentiations & multiplications, overall complexity is  $O(\sqrt{q} \cdot \mathsf{polylog}(q))$

# **Algorithms for Discrete Logarithm Problem**

- Pohlig-Hellman algorithm
  - works when factorization of group order q is known or can be computed
  - reduces the problem of computing discrete log in groups of order  $q = q_1 \cdot q_2$  to discrete log in groups of order  $q_1$  and  $q_2$
  - it uses a variant of the Chinese remainder theorem
  - thus, group order q must always contain at least one large factor

- Discrete logarithm problem
  - groups of prime order are a popular choice because..
    - Pohlig-Hellman algorithm is not effective
    - finding a generator is easy: each element is a generator
    - exponent manipulation is easier: each exponent has an inverse
    - other security assumptions are more likely to hold
- How do we produce a group of prime order or with a large factor in the group order?

12

- A subgroup of a group is a subset of the group that forms a group with the same binary operation
  - for example, powers  $a^i$  in a multiplicative group can "hit" only a subset of the group elements
- A group  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*,\cdot)$  for prime p has order  $\phi(p)=p-1$
- ullet Subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  can have any order q that divides p-1
  - we often might want p = 2q + 1 for prime p and q
  - or we can have p = 2qt + 1 for reasonably large prime q and some t

- How do we generate a subgroup?
  - let order of some group G be  $q = q_1 \cdot q_2$
  - to obtain generator of subgroup of order  $q_1$ , we often can pick  $a \in G$  and set  $g = a^{q_2}$
- How do we generate proper prime p?
  - we want to generate primes p and q such that q | (p-1)
  - to do so, we need to know the factorization of p-1
  - approach 1: generate a random prime p and then factor p-1
  - approach 2: generate a random q first and then choose r such that p = 2rq + 1 is prime

- The choice of parameters
  - for group  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , p needs to be large enough for discrete log to be hard to solve
    - fastest algorithm runs on average in  $2^{O(k^{1/3}(\log k)^{2/3})}$  time
    - today this requires |p| of 1536 bits or higher
  - the group order must have at least one large factor to prevent exhaustive search
    - e.g., 192 bits or higher
  - if the group order is prime, it can be relatively short (same 192 bits)
    - this can improve performance

- ElGamal encryption
  - a public-key encryption published in 1985 by ElGamal
  - its security relies on the discrete logarithm problem being hard
  - the encryption operation is randomized
  - a ciphertext is twice as long as the original message
  - the idea:
    - the plaintext m is masked by multiplying it by  $h^y$
    - ullet another value  $g^y$  is transmitted as part of the ciphertext
    - knowing the private key, one can compute  $h^{\mathcal{Y}}$  from  $g^{\mathcal{Y}}$  and unmask the message

#### Key generation

- choose a cyclic group G of order q and a generator  $g \in G$
- choose a random x from  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and compute  $h=g^x$
- public key: pk = (G, q, g, h)
- private key: sk = x

#### • Encryption

- to encrypt a message  $m \in G$  using public key pk = (G, q, g, h)
- choose a random number  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
- compute the ciphertext as

$$c = \operatorname{Enc}_{pk}(m) = (c_1, c_2) = (g^y, m \cdot h^y)$$

#### • Decryption

- given a ciphertext  $c = (c_1, c_2)$  and keys pk = (G, q, g, h), sk = x
- decrypt the ciphertext as  $m = \text{Dec}_{sk}(c) = c_2 \cdot c_1^{-x}$

#### Correctness

- we show that  $\operatorname{Dec}_{sk}(\operatorname{Enc}_{pk}(m)) = m$
- the decryption is

#### • Example

- key generation
  - let p = 2579 and g = 2
  - suppose we choose x = 765 and compute  $h = 2^{765} \mod 2579 = 949$
  - the public key is pk = (2579, 2, 949)
  - the secret key is sk = 765
- encryption
  - to encrypt m = 1299, suppose we choose y = 853
  - to encrypt, first compute  $c_1 = 2^{853} \mod 2579 = 435$  and  $c_2 = 1299 \cdot 949^{853} \mod 2579 = 2396$

- Example (cont.)
  - encryption
    - the ciphertext is c = (435, 2396)
  - decryption
    - given  $c = (c_1, c_2)$ , we decrypt the message by computing  $c_2 \cdot (c_1^x)^{-1} \mod p$ :

$$m = 2396 \cdot (435^{765})^{-1} \mod 2579 = 1299$$

- Security of ElGamal encryption
  - depends on the discrete logarithm problem in G being infeasible
  - but it is based on a different hardness assumption

- On parameter choice in ElGamal
  - if g is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ 
    - p should have several hundred digits for the discrete logarithm to be hard
    - p-1 should have at least one large prime factor
  - if g does not generate  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ 
    - the order of g can be smaller than p-1
    - for instance, prime order q of length 192 bits is sufficient
  - the group doesn't have to be  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ 
    - other choices include groups defined over elliptic curves

- On parameter choice in ElGamal
  - sharing parameters
    - unlike in cryptosystems that use composite modulus, here the same p and g can be used in many keys
    - when modulus n=pq is used, its factorization is often the private key or allows to compute the private key
    - here both p and g are public
    - that makes this encryption secure is the knowledge of the secret value  $\boldsymbol{x}$
  - a fresh value of y should be picked for each encryption

# Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol
  - Alice and Bob want to compute a shared key, which must be unknown to eavesdroppers
  - Alice and Bob share public parameters: a group G of order q and a generator g
  - Alice randomly chooses  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and sends  $g^x$  to Bob:  $A \xrightarrow{g^x} B$
  - Bob randomly chooses  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and sends  $g^y$  to Alice:  $A \stackrel{g^y}{\longleftarrow} B$
  - the shared secret is set to  $g^{xy}$ 
    - Alice computes it as  $(g^y)^x = g^{xy}$
    - Bob computes it as  $(g^x)^y = g^{xy}$

## Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol
  - Alice and Bob are able to establish a shared secret with no prior relationship
  - it is believed to be infeasible for an eavesdropper to compute  $g^{xy}$  given  $g^x$  and  $g^y$
- Diffie-Hellman problem
  - Computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH) problem
    - given g,  $g^x$  and  $g^y$ , compute  $g^{xy}$
  - Decision Diffie-Hellman (DDH) problem
    - given  $g, g^x, g^y$ , and  $g^z$ , determine whether xy = z (modulo q)

#### **Diffie-Hellman Problem**

- As before, CDH (DDH) problem is hard if any PPT adversary  ${\cal A}$  has at most negligible probability in solving it
- Diffie-Hellman problem
  - DDH is a stronger assumption than CDH
    - breaking CDH implies breaking DDH, but the converse is not true
  - discrete log is at least as hard as CDH
  - security of the Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol is based on the CDH assumption

CSE 664 — Spring 2017

# **Security of ElGamal Encryption**

- Going back to ElGamal
  - if the CDH assumption holds, ElGamal is one-way
    - i.e., if you can solve the CDH problem, you will be able to decrypt
  - if the DDH assumption holds, ElGamal is secure in the sense of indistinguishability
- $\bullet$  Formally: if the DDH problem is hard (relative to Set), then the ElGamal encryption scheme has indistinguishable encryptions under a chosen-plaintext attack

CSE 664 — Spring 2017

26

# **Security of ElGamal Encryption**

• Security proof sketch

CSE 664 — Spring 2017

# **Security of ElGamal Encryption**

- Care must be taken when mapping messages to group elements
  - one (least significant) bit of discrete logarithm is easy to compute
  - we say that an element  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is a quadratic residue (QR) modulo p if there exists  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  such that  $y = x^2 \mod p$
  - given a ciphertext, an adversary can tell whether the underlying plaintext was a QR modulo p or not
  - to ensure indistinguishability, we need to make sure that all values we use will have the same value for that bit
  - thus, we encode messages as  $x^2 \mod p$  only

# **EIGamal Encryption**

- More on quadratic residues
  - a is called a quadratic residue (QR) modulo m if  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{m}$  has solutions
  - -a is called a quadratic non-residue (QNR) otherwise
  - there are the same number, (p-1)/2, of QRs and QNRs modulo prime p among numbers  $1, \ldots, p-1$
  - if a is a QR modulo prime p, its square roots are  $\pm x \mod p$ 
    - then one solution is  $\leq (p-1)/2$  and the other one is not
  - square roots are efficiently computable

# **ElGamal Encryption**

- Encryption with ElGamal becomes
  - given a message m, interpret it as a integer between 1 and q, where q=(p-1)/2
  - compute  $\hat{m} = m^2 \mod p$  and encrypt  $\hat{m}$
  - upon decryption:
    - obtain  $\hat{m}$
    - compute square roots  $m_1, m_2$  of  $\hat{m}$  modulo p
    - set m to the unique  $1 \leq m_i \leq q$

## **Summary**

- The discrete logarithm problem is considered hard in groups modulo a large prime
- Many constructions rely on it
- ElGamal is an example of encryption that assumes hardness of discrete logarithm problem
- Diffie-Hellman key exchange is built using similar assumptions
  - two types of hardness assumptions are known as computational and decision Diffie-Hellman problems
- ElGamal is CPA-secure under the DDH assumption

— Spring 2017