
Applied Cryptography and Computer Security

CSE 664 Spring 2017

Lecture 13: Public-Key Cryptography and RSA

**Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University at Buffalo**

Public-Key Cryptography

- **What we already know**
 - symmetric key cryptography enables **confidentiality**
 - achieved through secret key encryption
 - symmetric key cryptography enables **authentication and integrity**
 - achieved through MACs
- **In all of the above the sender and receiver must share a secret key**
 - need a secure channel for key distribution
 - not possible for parties with no prior relationship
 - public-key cryptography can aid with this

Public-Key Cryptography

- **Other limitations of symmetric key cryptography**
 - authentication to multiple receivers is difficult
 - non-repudiation cannot be achieved
- **What's the solution?**
 - the concept of more powerful asymmetric key encryption
- **Public-key cryptography was proposed by Diffie and Hellman**
 - it was in 1976 in their work “New directions in cryptography”

Public-Key Cryptography

- **Diffie and Hellman** introduced
 - public-key encryption
 - public-key key agreement protocols
 - digital signatures
- It also turned out that **public-key encryption was proposed earlier**
 - James Ellis proposed it in 1970 in a classified paper
 - the paper was made public by the British government in 1997
- The concept of key agreement and digital signatures is still due to **Diffie and Hellman**

Public-Key Cryptography

- **Public-key encryption**
 - a party creates a public-private key pair
 - the public key is pk
 - the private or secret key is sk
 - the public key is used for encryption $\text{Enc}_{pk}(m)$ and is publicly available
 - the private key is used for decryption only $\text{Dec}_{sk}(c)$
 - knowing the public key and the encryption algorithm only, it is computationally infeasible to find the secret key

Public-Key Cryptography

- **(Public-key) Key agreement or key distribution**
 - prior to the protocol the parties do not share a common secret
 - after the protocol execution they hold a key not known to any eavesdropper
- **Digital signatures**
 - a party generates a public-private signing key pair
 - private key is used to sign a message
 - public key is used to verify a signature on a message
 - can be viewed as single-source message authentication

Public Key Encryption Formally

- A **public-key encryption scheme** consists of three PPT algorithms (Gen, Enc, Dec) such that:
 1. **key generation** Gen, on input security parameter 1^n , outputs a public-private key pair (pk, sk)
 2. **encryption** Enc, on input public key pk and messages m from the message space, outputs ciphertext $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_{pk}(m)$
 - message space often depends on pk
 3. **decryption** Dec, on input private key sk and ciphertext c , outputs a message $m := \text{Dec}_{sk}(c)$ or a special failure symbol \perp .

Public Key Encryption

- **Message space** \mathcal{M} can now be different from, e.g., all strings of size n
 - if we use arithmetic modulo p , a message can be any number in $\{0, \dots, p - 1\}$
- **Properties**
 - **correctness**
 - as before, we want $\text{Dec}_{sk}(\text{Enc}_{sk}(m)) = m$
 - but we can permit a negligible probability of failure
 - **security**
 - what is different from our previous definitions?

Security Against Eavesdroppers

- We are given public-key encryption scheme $\mathcal{E} = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$
- **The eavesdropping indistinguishability experiment $\text{PubK}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}}^{\text{eav}}(n)$**
 1. $\text{Gen}(1^n)$ is run to produce keys (pk, sk)
 2. adversary \mathcal{A} is given pk and outputs two messages m_0, m_1 from message space
 3. random bit $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ is chosen, and ciphertext $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_{pk}(m_b)$ is given to \mathcal{A}
 4. \mathcal{A} outputs bit b' ; if $b = b'$, the experiment outputs 1 (\mathcal{A} wins), and 0 otherwise

Chosen-Plaintext Security

- **The CPA indistinguishability experiment $\text{PubK}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}}^{\text{cpa}}(n)$**
 1. $\text{Gen}(1^n)$ is run to produce keys (pk, sk)
 2. adversary \mathcal{A} is given pk and oracle access to $\text{Enc}_{pk}(\cdot)$; it outputs two messages m_0, m_1 from message space
 3. random bit $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ is chosen, and ciphertext $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_{pk}(m_b)$ is given to \mathcal{A}
 4. \mathcal{A} continues to have oracle access to $\text{Enc}_{pk}(\cdot)$ and outputs bit b'
 5. if $b = b'$, the experiment outputs 1 (\mathcal{A} wins), and 0 otherwise

Notions of Security

- A public-key encryption scheme $\mathcal{E} = (\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ has **indistinguishable encryptions under a chosen-plaintext attack** (or is **CPA-secure**) if for all PPT adversaries \mathcal{A} ,

$$\Pr[\text{PubK}_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}}^{\text{cpa}}(n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \text{negl}(n)$$

i.e., \mathcal{A} cannot win the game with significantly better chances than random guess

- **Similar definition can be constructed for eavesdropping adversaries**
- **What is the gap between the two notions of security?**

Notions of Security

- We obtain that **no deterministic public-key encryption scheme** has **indistinguishable encryptions** in the presence of eavesdropper and under CPA attack
- Does anything change if we deal with **multiple messages**?
- What can we say about encrypting **long messages**?
- How about **perfect secrecy** in the public-key setting?

Encrypting Long Messages

- In practice, to encrypt long messages **hybrid encryption** is used
 - the simplest way is to choose a random symmetric key k and send it encrypted with the recipient's public key $\text{Enc}_{pk}(k)$
 - encrypt the message m itself using k and symmetric key encryption $\mathcal{E}' = (\text{Gen}', \text{Enc}', \text{Dec}')$
 - m might need to be partitioned as m_1, \dots, m_t
 - send $\text{Enc}'_k(m_1), \dots, \text{Enc}'_k(m_t)$
- Why do we use a combination of two different encryption algorithms?

RSA Cryptosystem

- **The RSA algorithm**
 - invented by **Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Leonard Adleman in 1978**
 - its security requires that **factoring large numbers is hard**
 - **but there is no proof that the algorithm is as hard to break as factoring**
 - **sustained many years of attacks on it**

Background

- **Recall Euler's ϕ function**
 - for a product of two primes $n = pq$, $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$
- **Euler's theorem**
 - given $m > 1$ and a with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
- **Recall Euler's theorem's corollary**
 - given x, y, m , and a with $\gcd(m, a) = 1$, if $x \equiv y \pmod{\phi(m)}$, then $a^x \equiv a^y \pmod{m}$
- **Computation of a multiplicative inverse modulo m**
 - given a and m with $\gcd(a, m) = 1$, there is a unique x (between 0 and m) such that $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

RSA Cryptosystem

- **The idea**
 - for modulus $n > 1$ and integer $e > 0$, let $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$
 - then $f(x) = x^e \bmod n$ is a permutation if $\gcd(e, n) = 1$
 - if $d = e^{-1} \bmod \phi(n)$, $f'(x) = x^d \bmod n$ is the inverse of f
- The hardness assumption is called the **RSA problem** and is to compute the inverse function
 - easy if factorization of n or $\phi(n)$ is known
 - believed to be hard otherwise

Plain or “Textbook” RSA

- **Key generation**
 - given security parameter 1^k , generate two large prime numbers p and q , each $k/2$ bits long
 - compute $n = pq$
 - select a small prime number e
 - compute $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$
 - and then compute d – the inverse of e modulo $\phi(n)$
 - i.e., $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$
- **The public key is $pk = (e, n)$**
The private key is $sk = d$

Plain RSA

- **Encryption**

- given a message $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$
- given a public key $pk = (e, n)$
- encrypt as $c = \text{Enc}_{pk}(m) = m^e \bmod n$

- **Decryption**

- given a ciphertext c
- given a public key $pk = (e, n)$ and the corresponding private key $sk = d$
- decrypt as $m = \text{Dec}_{sk}(c) = c^d \bmod n$

RSA

- **Example**

- **generate a key pair**

- **pick** $p = 7, q = 11$

- **compute** $n = 77$

- **pick** $e = 37$

- **compute** $\phi(n) = 6 \cdot 10 = 60$

- **compute** $d \equiv e^{-1} \equiv 13 \pmod{60}$

- **public key** $(37, 77)$

- **private key** 13

RSA

- **Example (cont.)**
 - **encryption**
 - given a message $m = 15$
 - encryption is $c = m^e \bmod n$
 - $c = 15^{37} \bmod 77 = 71$
 - **decryption**
 - given ciphertext $c = 71$
 - decryption is $m = c^d \bmod n$
 - $m = 71^{13} \bmod 77 = 15$

RSA

- **Why does it work?**
 - we would like to see how the message is recovered from the ciphertext
- **Decrypting encrypted message**
 - $\text{Dec}_{sk}(\text{Enc}_{pk}(m)) =$
 - recall that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$
 - also recall that $x \equiv y \pmod{\phi(n)} \Rightarrow m^x \equiv m^y \pmod{n}$
 - thus, we obtain $m^{ed} \equiv$

More on RSA

- **All of the above works when a message $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$**
 - **the algorithm doesn't go through if $\gcd(m, n) \neq 1$**
 - **the problem is that the space \mathbb{Z}_n^* is not known without private key**
- **The good news is that we can still use any m between 0 and $n - 1$**
 - **for $n = pq$, the probability that $\gcd(m, n) \neq 1$ is negligible**
 - **and if $\gcd(m, n) \neq 1$, there are bigger problems than algorithm's failure**

RSA Security

- Security of RSA requires that the **RSA problem** is hard
- We start with factoring which must also be hard
 - let algorithm GenMod on input 1^k output $n = pq$, where p and q are $k/2$ -bit primes
- **The factoring experiment** $\text{Factor}_{\mathcal{A}, \text{GenMod}}(k)$
 1. run GenMod(1^k) and obtain (p, q, n)
 2. \mathcal{A} is given n and outputs $p', q' > 1$
 3. output 1 (\mathcal{A} wins) if $p' \cdot q' = n$, and 0 otherwise
- Factoring is hard (relative to GenMod) if for all PPT algorithms \mathcal{A}

$$\Pr[\text{Factor}_{\mathcal{A}, \text{GenMod}}(k) = 1] \leq \text{negl}(k)$$

RSA Security

- Let GenRSA be the key generation algorithm for RSA that takes 1^k and outputs (n, e, d)
- **The RSA experiment** $\text{RSAInv}_{\mathcal{A}, \text{GenRSA}}(k)$
 1. run GenRSA(1^k) to obtain (n, e, d)
 2. choose $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ and give $n, e,$ and y to \mathcal{A}
 3. \mathcal{A} outputs $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ and wins (the experiment outputs 1) iff $y = x^e \pmod n$
- The **RSA problem is hard** (relative to GenRSA) if any PPT algorithm \mathcal{A} wins the RSA experiment with at most negligible probability

$$\Pr[\text{RSAInv}_{\mathcal{A}, \text{GenRSA}}(k) = 1] \leq \text{negl}(k)$$

Insecurity of Plain RSA

- **Hardness of RSA problem implies that it can generally be hard to decrypt messages without the private key (or factorization of the modulus)**
- **The above description of RSA, however, is **not secure****
 - **why?**
- **What does the above construction exactly guarantee?**
 - **given a message m chosen **uniformly at random** from \mathbb{Z}_n^* and the public key (n, e)**
 - **adversary cannot recover the entire m**

RSA Implementation

- **Choosing p , q , and n**
 - today the modulus n needs to be at least 1536 bits long
 - often a random number is chosen for p and q and is tested for primality
 - **Miller-Rabin** primality test is common
 - the algorithm has a probability of error
 - but it is popular due to its speed
 - how large the error is can be controlled
 - composite numbers that pass this primality test are called strong pseudo-prime numbers

RSA Implementation

- **Choosing e**
 - **the smaller e is, the faster encryption is performed**
 - **recall that the square-and-multiply algorithm for computing $m^e \bmod n$ depends on the length of the exponent**
 - **the number of multiplications also directly depends on the number of 1's in the binary representation of e**
 - **common choices for e are 3, 17, $2^{16} + 1 = 65537$**
 - **such numbers require only a few modulo multiplications to encrypt**

RSA Implementation

- **Speeding up decryption**
 - we don't have control over d – it'll have to be long
 - but we can still decrypt faster using smaller moduli
 - since p and q are known, we can exploit their shorter size
 - we apply the **Chinese Remainder Theorem**
 - recall that the CRT solves a system of congruences
$$x_i \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$$
 - the solution is a congruence modulo $n = \prod n_i$

RSA Implementation

- **Using the CRT for decryption**

- we have c and the goal is to compute $m = c^d \pmod n$
- we first compute $m_1 = c^d \pmod p$ and $m_2 = c^d \pmod q$
- this gives us $m_1 = m \pmod p$ and $m_2 = m \pmod q$
- we then combine m_1 and m_2 using the CRT to obtain $m \pmod n$
 - the equations we are solving are $m \equiv m_1 \pmod p$ and $m \equiv m_2 \pmod q$
 - the unique solution is

$$m \equiv m_1(q^{-1} \pmod p)q + m_2(p^{-1} \pmod q)p \pmod n$$

Summary

- **Public key cryptography** achieves many objectives
- **Security of public key encryption can be modeled similar to symmetric encryption**
 - **but security against chosen-plaintext attack (CPA) is now the weakest reasonable security model**
- **RSA** is the most commonly used public-key encryption algorithm
 - **requires that factoring large numbers is hard**
 - **the plain or “textbook” RSA doesn’t meet our definition of security**
- **RSA implementations target at faster performance**