# Applied Cryptography and Computer Security CSE 664 Spring 2017

# **Lecture 3: Perfect Secrecy, Entropy**

Department of Computer Science and Engineering University at Buffalo

1

# **Lecture Outline**

- Last lecture:
  - classical ciphers
- This lecture:
  - elements of probability theory
  - perfect secrecy
  - one-time pad (Vernam's cipher)
  - entropy
  - language redundancy

### **Lecture Outline**

- Recall how the security of a cryptosystem is shown:
  - computational security
  - unconditional security
- Today we study unconditionally secure systems using probability theory
  - given a ciphertext, no information can be learned about the message it encrypts
  - ciphers we already learned about can be made unconditionally secure

### **One-Time Pad**

- An example of crypto system that achieves unconditional and perfect secrecy is one-time pad (Vernam's cipher)
  - given a binary message m of length  $\boldsymbol{n}$
  - algorithm  $\operatorname{Gen}$  produces a random binary key k of length at least n
  - to encrypt m with k, compute  $Enc_k(m) = m \oplus k$
  - to decrypt c with k, compute  $Dec_k(c) = c \oplus k$
- What properties does this cipher have and why is it so good?

### **Elementary Probability Theory**

- A discrete random variable X consists of:
  - a finite set  $\mathcal{X}$  of values
  - a probability distribution defined on  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$
- The probability that X takes on the value x is denoted by  $\Pr[X = x]$
- We must have that
  - $\Pr[X = x] \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$
  - $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \Pr[X = x] = 1$
- Example: dice from homework
  - probability distribution is  $Pr[X = 1] = \ldots = Pr[X = 6] = 1/6$

Spring 2017

### **Elementary Probability Theory**

- Let X and Y be random variables (defined on sets  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , resp.)
- Joint probability  $\Pr[X = x, Y = y]$  is the probability that X takes value x and Y takes value y
- Conditional probability  $\Pr[X = x | Y = y]$  is the probability that X takes value x given that Y takes value y
- X and Y are independent random variables if  $\Pr[X = x, Y = y] = \Pr[X = x]\Pr[Y = y]$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$

Spring 2017

#### Marina Blanton

**CSE 664** 

### **Elementary Probability Theory**

- Example with two perfect dice:
  - Let  $D_1$  denote the result of throwing first dice,  $D_2$  the result of throwing the second dice, and S their sum
  - What is the joint probability  $Pr[D_1 = 2, D_2 = 5]$ ?
  - What is the conditional probability  $Pr[D_2 = 3 | D_1 = 3]$ ?
  - Are  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  independent?
  - What is the joint probability  $Pr[D_1 = 3, S = 5]$ ?
  - Are  $D_1$  and S independent?

**CSE 664** 

- What is the conditional probability  $\Pr[S = 8 | D_1 = 4]$ ?  $\Pr[S = 8 | D_1 = 1]$ ?  $\Pr[D_1 = 3 | S = 4]$ ?

Spring 2017

# **Probability Theory**

• Conditional and joint probabilities are related:

$$\Pr[X = x, Y = y] = \Pr[X = x \mid Y = y] \cdot \Pr[Y = y]$$
(1)  
and  
$$\Pr[X = x, Y = y] = \Pr[X = x \mid Y = y] \cdot \Pr[Y = y]$$
(2)

$$\Pr[X = x, Y = y] = \Pr[Y = y \mid X = x] \cdot \Pr[X = x]$$
(2)

• From these two expressions we obtain **Bayes' Theorem**:

- if 
$$\Pr[Y = y] > 0$$
, then

$$\Pr[X = x \mid Y = y] = \frac{\Pr[X = x] \cdot \Pr[Y = y \mid X = x]}{\Pr[Y = y]}$$
(3)

• How is it useful to us?

CSE 664

— Spring 2017

#### Marina Blanton

# **Probability Theory**

• **Corollary:** X and Y are independent random variables if and only if

$$\Pr[X = x \mid Y = y] = \Pr[X = x]$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

follows from definition of independent random variables and equation (1)

• This is what we need for perfect secrecy

### What Does This Do for Us?

- Recall that a cipher is associated with  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K},$  and  $\mathcal{C}$
- Let  $\Pr[K = k]$  denote the probability of key  $k \in \mathcal{K}$  being output by Gen
- Let  $\Pr[M = m]$  define the a priori probability that message m is chosen for encryption
- M and K are independent and define ciphertext distribution C
- Given M, K and Enc, we can compute  $\Pr[M = m | C = c]$
- This takes us to the notion of perfect secrecy...

Spring 2017

### **Perfect Secrecy**

Definition: An encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) has perfect secrecy if for every distribution over *M*, every *m* ∈ *M* and *c* ∈ *C* s.t.
Pr[*C* = *c*] > 0:

$$\Pr[M = m \mid C = c] = \Pr[M = m]$$

• Interpretation: after observing ciphertext c the a posteriori probability that the message is m is identical to the a priori probability that the message is m

### **Perfect Secrecy**

• Alternative definition of perfect secrecy

**CSE 664** 

- An encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) is perfectly secret if and only if for every distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$  and every  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $c \in C$ :

$$\Pr[C = c \mid M = m] = \Pr[C = c]$$

- This means that the probability distribution of the ciphertext does not depend on the plaintext
- In other words, an encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) is perfectly secret if and only if for every distribution over  $\mathcal{M}$  and every  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ :

$$\Pr[C = c \mid M = m_1] = \Pr[C = c \mid M = m_2]$$

# **Perfect Indistinguishability**

- Indistinguishability of encrypted messages allows us to formulate security requirement as an experiment or game
  - interactive game with adversary  $\mathcal{A}$ , who tries to break a cryptographic scheme
- Our first experiment
  - for eavesdropping adversaries
  - using private-key encryption
  - asks them to distinguish between encryptions of different messages
  - let  $\mathcal{E} = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$ , and we name the experiment  $\mathsf{PrivK}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}$

# **Perfect Indistinguishability**

- **Experiment**  $\mathsf{Priv}\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}$ 
  - **1.** A chooses two messages  $m_0, m_1 \in \mathcal{M}$
  - 2. random key k is generated by Gen, and random bit  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$  is chosen
  - **3.** ciphertext  $c \leftarrow Enc_k(m_b)$  is computed and given to  $\mathcal{A}$
  - **4.** A outputs bit b' as its guess for b
  - 5. experiment outputs 1 if b' = b (A wins) and 0 otherwise
- Given this experiment, how should we define indistinguishability? perfect secrecy?

# Perfect Indistinguishability

• Definition: An encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) over message space  $\mathcal{M}$  is perfectly secret if for every adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  it holds that

$$\Pr[\operatorname{Priv}\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{eav}}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}=1]=\frac{1}{2}$$

– notice that is must work for every  ${\cal A}$ 

• This definition is equivalent to our original definition of perfect secrecy

### **One-Time Pad**

- One-time pad (Vernam's cipher)
  - for fixed integer n, let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^n$
  - Gen chooses a key k uniformly at random from  ${\mathcal K}$ 
    - each key is chosen with probability  $2^{-n}$
  - Enc: given key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , compute  $Enc_k(m) = m \oplus k$
  - Dec: given key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and ciphertext  $c \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , compute  $\text{Dec}_k(c) = c \oplus k$
- Why is it perfectly secret?

### **One-Time Pad**

- Theorem: One-time pad encryption scheme achieves perfect secrecy
- Proof
  - fix distribution over  ${\mathcal M}$  and message  $m \in {\mathcal M}$

 $\Pr[C = c \,|\, M = m] =$ 

- this works for all distributions and all m, so for all distributions over  $\mathcal{M}$ , all  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ , and all  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ :

$$\Pr[C = c \mid M = m_1] = \Pr[C = c \mid M = m_2] = \frac{1}{2^n}$$

- by definition of perfect secrecy, this encryption is perfectly secret

- Spring 2017

### More on One-Time Pad

- One-time pad can be defined on units larger than bits (e.g., letters)
- One-time pad questions:
  - Since the key must be long, what if we use text from a book as our key?
  - What if we reuse the key on different messages?
  - Can we securely encrypt using a short/reusable key?
    - no encryption scheme with smaller key space than message space can be perfectly secret

### **Perfect Secrecy**

- It can be shown that
  - Shift cipher has perfect secrecy if
    - the key is chosen randomly
    - it is used to encrypt a single letter
  - Similarly, Vigenère cipher has perfect secrecy if
    - each letter in the key is chosen randomly
    - the message has the same length as the key
- (Shannon's theorem) In general, to achieve perfect secrecy:
  - every key must be chosen with equal probability
  - for every message m and every ciphertext c, there is a unique key k such that  $Enc_k(m) = c$

Spring 2017

- Entropy *H* measures the amount of information (or amount of uncertainty)
- The larger *H* of a message distribution is, the harder it is to predict that message
- *H* is measured in bits as the minimum number of bits required to encode all possible messages

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \Pr[X = x] \log_2 \Pr[X = x]$$

• Examples

**CSE 664** 

Spring 2017

• If there are *n* messages and they are all equally probable, then

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \log_2 \frac{1}{n} = -\log_2 \frac{1}{n} = \log_2 n$$

- Entropy is commonly used in security to measure information leakage
  - compute entropy before and after transmitting a ciphertext
  - if entropy associated with messages changes, leakage of information about transmitted message takes place
  - similarly, if uncertainty associated with the keys changes after transmission, leakage of key information takes place

- Entropy after transmission is captured using conditional entropy H(X|Y)
  - H(M) H(M|C) defines information leakage about messages
  - H(K) (K|C) defines information leakage about keys
- Perfect secrecy is achieved if (and only if) H(M) = H(M|C)
  - that is, it is required that  ${\cal M}$  and  ${\cal C}$  are independent variables

- **Conditional entropy** H(X|Y) is defined as follows:
  - for each value y of Y, we get a conditional probability distribution on X, denoted by X|y

$$H(X|y) = -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \Pr[X = x | Y = y] \cdot \log_2 \Pr[X = x | Y = y]$$

- conditional entropy H(X|Y) is defined as the weighted average (w.r.t. probabilities  $\Pr[Y = y]$ ) of entropies H(X|y) over all possible y

$$H(X|Y) = -\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (\Pr[Y = y] \cdot \Pr[X = x|Y = y])$$
$$\log_2 \Pr[X = x|Y = y])$$

Spring 2017

# Language Redundancy

- Absolute rate of a language
  - is the maximum number of bits that can be encoded in each character
  - assuming that each character sequence is equally likely
- In an alphabet of  $\ell$  letters:
  - there are  $\ell^n$  possible strings of size n
  - if all of them are equiprobable, the entropy of a string is  $\log_2 \ell^n$
  - then the absolute language rate

$$r_a = \frac{\log_2 \ell^n}{n} = \frac{n \log_2 \ell}{n} = \log_2 \ell$$

• For English with  $\ell = 26$ ,  $r_a = 4.7$  bits

CSE 664

Spring 2017

# Language Redundancy

- Now compare that rate with the amount of information each English letter actually encodes
- Entropy of a language *L* is defined as

$$H_L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{H(M^n)}{n}$$

- it measures the amount of entropy per letter and represents the average number of bits of information per character
- For English,  $1 \le H_L \le 1.5$  bits per character
- Redundancy of English

$$R_L = 1 - \frac{H_L}{r_a} = 1 - \frac{1.25}{4.7} \approx 0.75$$

Spring 2017

### Summary

- Probabilities are used to evaluate security of a cipher
- Perfect secrecy achieves unconditional security
- One-time pad is a provably unbreakable cipher but is hard to use in practice
- Entropy is used to measure the amount of uncertainty of the encryption key given a ciphertext
- Next time:
  - private-key encryption
  - computational security