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## Lecture Outline

- What did we cover last time?
- What is ahead?


## Encryption

- Goal: secrecy of communication
- Basic terminology
- plaintext or message
- ciphertext
- cryptographic key
- Encryption scheme is defined by algorithms
- Gen: setup public parameters and key(s)
- Enc: given a message $m$ and encryption key, output ciphertext $c$
- Dec: given a ciphertext $c$ and decryption key, output plaintext $m$ or fail


## Encryption

- Gen can be configurable and takes a parameter $n \in \mathbb{N}$ called security parameter
- Encryption scheme $\mathcal{E}=($ Gen, Enc, Dec) has associated
- message space $\mathcal{M}$
- ciphertext space $\mathcal{C}$
- key space $\mathcal{K}$
- We obtain:
- Gen : $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$
- Enc: $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
- Dec: $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$


## Encryption

- What do we want from an encryption scheme?
- correctness
- security


## Types of Encryption

- Symmetric key encryption
- Public-key encryption
- How about cryptography beyond encryption?


## History of Ciphers

- Date back to 2500+ years
- An ongoing battle between codemakers and codebreakers
- Driven by current communication and computation technology
- paper and ink
- radio, cryptographic engines
- computers and digital communication


## Caesar Cipher

- Caesar cipher works on individual letters
- associates each letter with a number between 0 and 25, i.e., $A=0$, $B=1$, etc.
- message space is $\mathcal{M}=\{0, \ldots, 25\}$ and ciphertext space is $\mathcal{C}=\{0, \ldots, 25\}$
- Encryption: shift the letter right by 3 positions, i.e., $\operatorname{Enc}(m)=(m+3) \bmod 26$
- Decryption: shift the letter left by 3 positions, i.e., $\operatorname{Dec}(c)=(c-3) \bmod 26$


## Caesar Cipher

- Example

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ 012345678910111213141516171819202122232425

- Message $M=$ CIPHER
- Ciphertext $C=$ ?
- Assuming Kerckhoffs' principle, how do you break shift cipher?


## Shift Cipher

- Shift cipher is generalization of Caesar cipher
- uses a key with key space $\mathcal{K}=\{1, \ldots, 25\}$
- Gen: choose $k \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{K}$
- Enc: given key $k$, shift the letter right by $k$ positions, i.e., $\operatorname{Enc}_{k}(m)=(m+k) \bmod 26$
- Dec: given key $k$, shift the letter left by $k$ positions, i.e., $\operatorname{Dec}_{k}(c)=(c-k) \bmod 26$
- How hard is this one to break? What does it tell us?


## Substitution Cipher

- Similarly, operates on one letter at a time $\left(\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{C}=\mathbb{Z}_{26}\right)$
- The key space consists of all possible permutations of the 26 symbols 0 , ..., 25
- Gen: choose a random permutation $\pi: \mathbb{Z}_{26} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{26}$
- Enc: permute using $\pi$, i.e., $\mathrm{Enc}_{\pi}(m)=\pi(m)$
- Dec: reverse permutation, i.e., $\operatorname{Dec}_{\pi}(c)=\pi^{-1}(c)$, where $\pi^{-1}$ is the inverse permutation to $\pi$
- Example




## Substitution Cipher

- Key space is $26!\approx 4 \cdot 10^{26}$
- exhaustive (or brute-force) search is no longer possible
- the cipher thought to be unbreakable at the time it was used
- The key to breaking the cipher lies in frequency analysis
- The fact: each language has certain features such as frequency of letters and frequency of groups of letters
- Substitution cipher preserves such features


## Substitution Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Probabilities of occurrence of English language letters:

| letter | prob | letter | prob | letter | prob | letter | prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8 2}$ | H | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 5}$ | V | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0}$ |
| B | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 5}$ | I | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7 0}$ | P | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 3}$ |
| C | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{J}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | X | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| D | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 3}$ | K | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8}$ | R | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 0}$ | Y | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 0}$ |
| E | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4 0}$ | S | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 3}$ | Z | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| F | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 2}$ | M | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 4}$ | T | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9 1}$ |  |  |
| G | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 0}$ | N | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{U}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 8}$ |  |  |

- The common sequences of two or three consecutive letters (diagrams and trigrams, resp.) are also known
- Other language features: vowels constitute $40 \%$ of plaintext, letter $Q$ is always followed by $\mathbf{U}$, etc.


## Substitution Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Given a ciphertext, count different characters and their combinations to determine the frequency of usage
- Examine the ciphertext for patterns, repeated series, etc.
- Replace ciphertext characters with possible plaintext equivalents using known language characteristics
- Example:

YIFQFMZRWQFYVECFMDZPCVMRZWNMDZVEJBTXCDDUMJ NDIFEFMDZCDMQZKCEYFCJMYRNCWJCSZREXCHZUNMXZ NZUCDRJXYYSMRTMEYIFZWDYVZVYFZUMRZCRWNZDZJJ XZWGCHSMRNMDHNCMFQCHZ JMXJZWIEJYUCFWDJNZDIR

## Another Attack on Shift Ciphers

- Using probabilities we can also automate cryptanalysis of shift cipher
- why is previous approach harder to automate?
- How this attack works
- let $p_{i}$ denote the probability of $i$ th letter, $0 \leq i \leq 25$, in English text
- using known values for $p_{i}$ 's, we get

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{25} p_{i}^{2} \approx 0.065
$$

- let $q_{i}$ denote the probability of $i$ th letter in a ciphertext
- how is it computed?


## Another Attack on Shift Ciphers

- How this attack works (cont.)
- if the key was $k$, then we expect $q_{i+k} \approx p_{i}$
- so test each value of $k$ using

$$
I_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{25} p_{i} \cdot q_{i+j}
$$

for $0 \leq j \leq 25$

- output $k$ for which $I_{k}$ is closest to 0.065


## Vigenère Cipher

- The security of the substitution cipher can be improved if each letter is mapped to different letters
- such ciphers are called polyalphabetic
- shift and substitution ciphers are both monoalphabetic
- In Vigenère cipher, the key is a string of length $\ell$ and is called a keyword
- Encryption is performed on $\ell$ characters at a time similar to the shift cipher


## Vigenère Cipher

- Gen: choose $\ell \leftarrow \mathbb{N}$ and random key $k \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{26}^{\ell}$
- Enc: given key $k=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{\ell}\right)$, encrypt $\ell$-character message $m$ as $\operatorname{Enc}_{k}\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\ell}\right)=\left(\left(m_{1}+k_{1}\right) \bmod 26, \ldots,\left(m_{\ell}+k_{\ell}\right) \bmod 26\right)$
- To decrypt $c$ using $k$ :

$$
\operatorname{Dec}_{k}\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{\ell}\right)=\left(\left(c_{1}-k_{1}\right) \bmod 26, \ldots,\left(c_{\ell}-k_{\ell}\right) \bmod 26\right)
$$

## Vigenère Cipher

- Example:
- using $\ell=4$ and the keyword $k=$ LUCK, encrypt the plaintext $m=$ CRYPTOGRAPHY
- rewrite the key as $k=(11,20,2,10)$ and compute the ciphertext as:

| 2 | 17 | 24 | 15 | 19 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 20 | 2 | 10 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |

- the ciphertext is $c=$ NLAZEIIBLJJI


## Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Shift ciphers are vulnerable to frequency analysis attacks, but what about the Vigenère cipher?
- As the length of the keyword increases, usage of letters no longer follows language structure
- Think of this cipher as a collection of several shift ciphers
- Now the first task is to find the length of the key $\ell$
- Then we can divide the message into $\ell$ parts and use frequency analysis on each


## Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- There are two methods to find the key length: Kasisky test and index of coincidence
- Kasisky test:
- two identical segments of plaintext will be encrypted to the same ciphertext if they are $\delta$ positions apart where $\delta \equiv 0(\bmod \ell)$
- search for identical segments (of length $\geq 3$ ) and record the distances between them $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots\right)$
- $\ell$ divides the $\delta_{i}$ 's $\Rightarrow \ell$ divides $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots\right)$


## Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Index of coincidence:
- assume we are given a string $x=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$ of $n$ characters
- index of coincidence of $x, I_{c}(x)$, is measures the likelihood that two randomly drawn elements of $x$ are identical
- as before, let $q_{i}$ denote probability of $i$ th letter in $x$
- index of coincidence is computed (in simplified form) as

$$
I_{c}(x) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{25} q_{i}^{2}
$$

- for English text, we get 0.065
- for random strings, each $q_{i}$ has roughly the same probability


## Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Index of coincidence:
- for $q_{i}=1 / 26$, we get

$$
I_{c}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{25}\left(\frac{1}{26}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{26} \approx 0.038
$$

- Thus we can test for various key lengths to see whether $I_{c}$ of the ciphertext is close to that of English
- We first divide the ciphertext string $c=c_{1} \ldots c_{n}$ into $\ell$ substrings $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{\ell}$ and write them in a matrix


## Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- Guessing key length:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{1} & c_{\ell+1} & \cdots & c_{n-\ell+1} \\
c_{2} & c_{\ell+2} & \cdots & c_{n-\ell+2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{\ell} & c_{2 \ell} & \cdots & c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=C_{1}=C_{2}
$$

- compute $I_{c}\left(C_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, \ell$
- if the values are not close to 0.065 , try a different key length $\ell$
- Once the key size is determined, use frequency analysis on each $C_{i}$


## Vigenère Cipher: Cryptanalysis

- How index of coincidence is derived
- denote the frequency of $i$ th letter in $x$ by $f_{i}$
- so we have $q_{i}=f_{i} / n$ for $n$-character $x$
- we can choose two elements in $x$ in $\binom{n}{2}$ ways
- recall that the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n!}{(k!(n-k)!)}$
- for each letter $i$, there are $\binom{f_{i}}{2}$ ways of choosing both elements to be $i$

$$
I_{c}(x)=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{25}\binom{f_{i}}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{25} f_{i}\left(f_{i}-1\right)}{n(n-1)} \approx \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{25} f_{i}^{2}}{n^{2}}=\sum_{i=0}^{25} q_{i}^{2}
$$

## Cipher Cryptanalysis

- Types of attacks on encryption:
- ciphertext only attack: the cryptanalyst knows a number of ciphertexts
- known plaintext attack: the cryptanalyst knows a number of ciphertexts and the corresponding plaintexts
- chosen plaintext attack: the cryptanalyst can obtain encryptions of chosen plaintext messages
- chosen ciphertext attack: the cryptanalyst can obtain decryptions of chosen ciphertexts
- Which did we use so far? what about others?
- How realistic are they?


## Summary

- Encryption: definitions, types, properties
- Shift ciphers have small key space and are easy to break using brute force search
- Substitution ciphers preserve language features and are vulnerable to frequency analysis attacks
- Vigenère ciphertexts can be decrypted as well
- once the key length is found, frequency analysis can be applied

