CSE 410/565 Computer Security Spring 2022

Lecture 2: Symmetric Encryption I

Department of Computer Science and Engineering University at Buffalo

Cryptographic Tools

- Cryptographic tools are essential in designing secure solutions and their understanding is crucial to correct usage
- We'll look at these types of cryptographic tools
 - symmetric encryption
 - hash functions and message authentication codes
 - public-key encryption
 - digital signatures and certificates
 - pseudo-random number generators
- The most basic problem of cryptography
 - ensure security of communication over insecure media

Spring 2022 -

©Marina Blanton

Goals of Cryptography

- Security goals
 - confidentiality
 - data integrity
- Basic encryption terminology
 - plaintext
 - ciphertext
 - cryptographic key
 - encryption
 - decryption
 - cryptanalysis

CSE 410/565

Symmetric Encryption

- Symmetric (or secret-key) encryption means that the same key is used both for encryption and decryption
- The key must remain secret at both ends
- Such algorithms are:
 - normally very fast
 - can be used as primitives in more complex cryptographic protocols
 - the key often has a short lifetime

©Marina Blanton

Symmetric Encryption Formally

- More formally, a computationally secure symmetric key encryption scheme is defined as:
 - a private-key encryption scheme consists of polynomial-time algorithms (Gen, Enc, Dec) such that
 - 1. Gen: on input the security parameter n, outputs key k
 - 2. Enc: on input a key k and a message $m \in \{0, 1\}^*$, outputs ciphertext c
 - 3. Dec: on input a key k and ciphertext c, outputs plaintext m
 - we write $k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n), c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m)$, and $m := \text{Dec}_k(c)$
 - this notation means that Gen and Enc are probabilistic and Dec is deterministic

Spring 2022 -

©Marina Blanton

Symmetric Encryption

- The above definition allows us to encrypt messages of any length
- In practice, there are two types of symmetric key algorithms:
 - block ciphers
 - the key has a fixed size
 - prior to encryption, the message is partitioned into blocks
 - each block is encrypted and decrypted separately
 - stream ciphers
 - the message is processed as a stream
 - pseudo-random generator is used to produce a long key stream from a short key

Attacks Against Symmetric Encryption

- Encryption and decryption algorithms are assumed to be known to the adversary
- Types of attacks
 - ciphertext only attack: adversary knows a number of ciphertexts
 - known plaintext attack: adversary knows some pairs of ciphertexts and corresponding plaintexts
 - chosen plaintext attack: adversary knows ciphertexts for messages of its choice
 - chosen ciphertext attack: adversary knows plaintexts for ciphertexts of its choice
- We want a general-purpose algorithm to sustain all types of attacks

Security Against Chosen-Plaintext Attacks

- In chosen-plaintext attack (CPA), adversary \mathcal{A} is allowed to ask for encryptions of messages of its choice
 - it is active and adaptive

- A is given black-box access to encryption oracle and can query it on different messages
 - notation $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{O}(\cdot)}$ means \mathcal{A} has oracle access to algorithm \mathcal{O}
- \mathcal{A} is asked to distinguish between encryptions of messages of its choice

Spring 2022

CPA Security

- CPA indistinguishability experiment $\mathsf{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}^{\mathsf{cpa}}(n)$
 - 1. random key k is generated by $Gen(1^n)$
 - 2. \mathcal{A} is given 1^n and ability to query $\text{Enc}_k(\cdot)$, and chooses two messages m_0, m_1 of the same length
 - 3. random bit $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ is chosen, challenge ciphertext $c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m_b)$ is computed and given to \mathcal{A}
 - 4. \mathcal{A} can use $Enc_k(\cdot)$ and eventually outputs bit b'
 - 5. experiment outputs 1 if b' = b (A wins) and 0 otherwise
- $\mathcal{E} = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$ has indistinguishable encryptions under the chosen-plaintext attack (CPA-secure) if for all PPT \mathcal{A}

$$\Pr[\operatorname{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}^{\operatorname{cpa}}(n) = 1] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \operatorname{negl}(n)$$

CSE 410/565

Block Ciphers

- The algorithm maps an *n*-bit plaintext block to an *n*-bit ciphertext block
- Most modern block ciphers are product ciphers
 - we sequentially apply more than one operation to the message
- Often a sequence of permutations and substitutions is used
- A common design for an algorithm is to proceed in iterations
 - one iteration is called a round
 - each round consists of similar operations
 - *i*th round key k_i is derived from the secret key k using a fixed, public algorithm

Spring 2022 -

©Marina Blanton

- Confusion-diffusion paradigm
 - split a block into small chunks
 - define a permutation on each chunk separately (confusion)
 - mix outputs from different chunks by rearranging bits (diffusion)
 - repeat to strengthen the result

- Substitution-permutation networks
 - since a permutation on a block can be specified as a lookup table, this is called substitution
 - instead of having substitutions defined by the key, such functions are fixed and applied to messages and keys
 - mixing algorithm is called mixing permutation





• For this type of algorithm to be reversible, each operation needs to be invertible

- Let's denote one iteration or round by function g
- The initial state s_0 is the message m itself
- In round *i*:
 - g's input is round key k_i and state s_{i-1}
 - g's output is state s_i
- The ciphertext c is the final state s_{Nr} , where Nr is the number of rounds
- Decryption algorithm applies g^{-1} iteratively
 - the order of round keys is reversed

- set
$$s_{Nr} = c$$
, compute $s_{i-1} = g^{-1}(k_i, s_i)$

CSE 410/565

Spring 2022 -

©Marina Blanton

- Another way to realize confusion-diffusion paradigm is through Feistel network
 - in Feistel network each state is divided into halves of the same length: L_i and R_i
 - in one round:

- $L_i = R_{i-1}$
- $R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus f(k_i, R_{i-1})$

Spring 2022



- Are there any advantages over the previous design?
 - operations no longer need to be reversible, as the inverse of the algorithm is not used!
 - reverse one round's computation as $R_{i-1} = L_i$ and $L_{i-1} = R_i \oplus f(k_i, R_{i-1})$

CSE 410/565

- In both types of networks, the substitution and permutation algorithms must be carefully designed
 - choosing random substitution/permutation strategies leads to significantly weaker ciphers
 - each bit difference in S-box input creates at least 2-bit difference in its output
 - mixing permutation ensures that difference in one S-box propagates to at least 2 S-boxes in next round

Block Ciphers

- Larger key size means greater security
 - for *n*-bit keys, brute force search takes $2^n/2$ time on average
- More rounds often provide better protection
 - the number of rounds must be large enough for proper mixing
- Larger block size offers increased security
 - security of a cipher also depends on the block length

Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- In 1973 National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) published a solicitation for cryptosystems
- DES was developed by IBM and adopted as a standard in 1977
- It was expected to be used as a standard for 10–15 years
- Was replaced only in 2001 with AES (Advanced Encryption Standard)
- DES characteristics:
 - key size is 56 bits
 - block size is 64 bits
 - number of rounds is 16

- DES uses Feistel network
 - Feistel network is used in many block ciphers such as DES, RC5, etc.
 - not used in AES

CSE 410/565

- in DES, each L_i and R_i is 32 bits long; k_i is 48 bits long



Spring 2022

©Marina Blanton

- DES has a fixed initial permutation *IP* prior to 16 rounds of encryption
- The inverse permutation IP^{-1} is applied at the end

CSE 410/565



©Marina Blanton

- The f function $f(k_i, R_{i-1})$
 - 1. first expands R_{i-1} from 32 to 48 bits (k_i is 48 bits long)
 - 2. XORs expanded R_{i-1} with k_i
 - 3. applies substitution to the result using S-boxes
 - 4. and finally permutes the value



DES *f* **Function**



©Marina Blanton

CSE 410/565

Spring 2022

- There are 8 **S-boxes**
 - S-boxes are the only non-linear elements in DES design
 - they are crucial for the security of the cipher
- Example: S_1

| 14 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| 0 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| 4 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| 15 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 13 |

- input to each S-box is 6 bits $b_1b_2b_3b_4b_5b_6$
- row = b_1b_6 , column = $b_2b_3b_4b_5$
- output is 4 bits

• CSE 410/565

- More about S-boxes..
 - a modified version of IBM's proposal was accepted as the standard
 - some of the design choices of S-boxes weren't public, which triggered criticism
 - in late 1980s early 1990s differential cryptanalysis techniques were discovered
 - it was then revealed that DES S-boxes were designed to prevent such attacks
 - such cryptanalysis techniques were known almost 20 years before they were discovered by others

DES Key Schedule

- Key computation consists of:
 - circular shift
 - permutation
 - contraction



- Why does decryption work?
 - round function g is invertible
 - compute $L_{i-1} = R_i \oplus f(k_i, L_i)$
 - compute $R_{i-1} = L_i$
 - in the beginning apply IP and at the end apply IP^{-1}
 - round keys k_{16}, \ldots, k_1 and the f function are computed as before

DES Weak Keys

- The master key k is used to generate 16 round keys
- Some keys result in the same round key to be generated in more than one round
 - this reduces complexity of the cipher
- Solution: check for weak keys at key generation
- DES has 4 weak keys:
 - 000000 000000
 - 0000000 FFFFFF
 - FFFFFF 000000
 - FFFFFFF FFFFFFF

Attacks on DES

- Brute force attack: try all possible 2⁵⁶ keys
 - time-consuming, but no storage requirements
- Differential cryptanalysis: traces the difference of two messages through each round of the algorithm
 - was discovered in early 90s
 - not effective against DES
- Linear cryptanalysis: tries to find linear approximations to describe DES transformations
 - was discovered in 1993
 - has no practical implication

Brute Force Search Attacks on DES

- It was conjectured in 1970s that a cracker machine could be built for \$20 million
- In 1990s RSA Laboratories called several DES challenges
 - Challenge II-2 was solved in 1998 by Electronic Frontier Foundation
 - a DES Cracker machine was built for less than \$250,000 and found the key was in 56 hours
 - Challenge III was solved in 1999 by the DES Cracker in cooperation with a worldwide network of 100,000 computers
 - the key was found in 22 hours 15 minutes
 - http://www.distributed.net/des



Increasing Security of DES

- DES uses a 56-bit key and this raised concerns
- One proposed solution is double DES
 - apply DES twice by using two different keys k_1 and k_2
 - encryption $c = E_{k_2}(E_{k_1}(m))$
 - decryption $m = D_{k_1}(D_{k_2}(c))$

- The resulting key is $2 \cdot 56 = 112$ bits, so it should be more secure, right?
 - an attack called meet-in-the-middle discovers keys k_1 and k_2 with 2⁵⁶ computation and storage
 - better, but not substantially than regular DES

Triple DES

- Triple DES with two keys k_1 and k_2 :
 - encryption $c = E_{k_1}(D_{k_2}(E_{k_1}(m)))$
 - decryption $m = D_{k_1}(E_{k_2}(D_{k_1}(c)))$
 - key space is $2 \cdot 56 = 112$ bits
- Triple DES with three keys k_1 , k_2 , and k_3 :
 - encryption $c = E_{k_3}(D_{k_2}(E_{k_1}(m)))$
 - decryption $m = D_{k_1}(E_{k_2}(D_{k_3}(c)))$
 - key space is $3 \cdot 56 = 168$ bits
- There is no known practical attack against either version
- Can be made backward compatible by setting $k_1 = k_2$ or $k_3 = k_2$

Summary of Attacks on DES

• DES

- best attack: brute force search
- 2^{55} work on average
- no other requirements
- Double DES
 - best attack: meet-in-the-middle
 - requires 2 plaintext-ciphertext pairs
 - requires 2^{56} space and about 2^{56} work
- Triple DES
 - best practical attack: brute force search