

Practice Problems for Exam 1

I. Well-formed formulas and grammatical trees

For each of the expressions below, say whether (a) it is a wff of PL, (b) it is an abbreviation of a wff of PL, or (c) it is neither of these. If (a), provide a grammatical tree. If (b), give its unabbreviated form and give a tree for that. If (c), say *briefly* why it is not a wff.

1. $\sim\sim(P \rightarrow T_{73})$.
2. $(\sim M_{21} \rightarrow Z)$
3. $Q \vdash_{PL} Q$.
4. $(S \rightarrow Q) \wedge (T \rightarrow \sim P)$
5. $(\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \chi))$
6. $P \in \{P, Q\}$

II. Validity and Semantic Consequence

7. Prove that for all wffs ϕ and ψ , $\models_{PL} (\sim\phi \rightarrow \sim\psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$
 (This theorem is part of the proof of the Soundness Theorem. A truth-table may appear as part of your proof, but you must explain why it helps show the above theorem.)
8. Prove that it is *not* the case that for all wffs ϕ and ψ , $\models_{PL} (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\sim\phi \rightarrow \sim\psi)$
 (The most straightforward way to prove this is to take an instance and show that it is false in some assignment. Please fully specify the assignment [specify the truth-value that it assigns to every sentence letter].)
9. Prove Theorem 2.12 (a)-(h) on p. 34.
10. Prove that it is *not* the case that for all sets of wffs Γ and Δ , if Γ is satisfiable and Δ is satisfiable, then $\Gamma \cup \Delta$ is satisfiable.
 (Hint: The most straightforward proof specifies two satisfiable sets, and proves that no assignment can satisfy the union of them.)
11. Prove that for all wffs ϕ , if ϕ is unsatisfiable, then $\models_{PL} \sim\phi$.
12. Prove that for all wffs ϕ and ψ , and all sets of wffs Γ , if either $\Gamma \models_{PL} \sim\phi$ or $\Gamma \models_{PL} \psi$, then $\Gamma \models_{PL} (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$.
 (Hint: Use *separation of cases*, which is the following inference rule: “From (If **A** then **C**) and (if **B** then **C**), infer (If either **A** or **B**, then **C**).” To apply it here, first state that you will use separation of cases. Then prove that if $\Gamma \models_{PL} \sim\phi$, then $\Gamma \models_{PL} (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$. Next prove that if $\Gamma \models_{PL} \psi$, then $\Gamma \models_{PL} (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$. Then infer, by separation of cases, that if *either* $\Gamma \models_{PL} \sim\phi$ *or* $\Gamma \models_{PL} \psi$, then $\Gamma \models_{PL} (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$.)

III. Theorems and Syntactic Consequence

13. Prove that $\sim\varphi \vdash_{\text{PL}} (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$. Do not use the Deduction Theorem.
14. Prove that $(\text{R} \rightarrow \text{P}) \rightarrow (\text{T} \rightarrow \text{S}) \vdash_{\text{PL}} (\sim\text{P} \rightarrow \sim\text{R}) \rightarrow (\text{T} \rightarrow \text{S})$. You may use the Deduction Theorem.
15. Prove Theorem 2.18 (a)-(f), p. 43 in the book.
16. Prove that for all wffs φ and ψ , and all sets of wffs Γ and Δ , if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{PL}} \varphi$ and $\Delta \vdash_{\text{PL}} (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$, then $\Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash_{\text{PL}} \psi$.
17. Prove that it is *not* the case that for all wffs φ and all sets of wffs Γ , if $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\}$ is inconsistent, then $\Gamma \cup \{\sim\varphi\}$ is consistent.
(Hint: find an instance, and prove that it is an instance.)
18. Prove that for all wffs φ and ψ , and sets of wffs Γ and Δ , if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{PL}} (\sim\varphi \rightarrow \sim\psi)$ and $\Delta \vdash_{\text{PL}} \psi$, then $\Gamma \cup \Delta \vdash_{\text{PL}} \varphi$.